



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/817,530

04/02/2004

Werner Braun

265.00400101

4868

26813

7590

05/05/2008

MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A.

P.O. BOX 581415

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458

EXAMINER

CLOW, LORI A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1631

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/05/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/817,530	Applicant(s) BRAUN ET AL.	
	Examiner Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.(PTO). (3)_____.

(2) Mark Gebhardt (Apps Rep). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 4/21/08 & 4/30/08.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Venkatarajan.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that the prior art of Venkatarajan fails to teach that each amino acid in a multiple sequence alignment be described quantitatively in terms of the plurality of PCP descriptors as a series of "N" eigenvectors resulting in "N" PCP described sequence alignments. Discussed possible amendments to overcome the outstanding 101 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lori A. Clow, Ph.D./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required